

MEETING ABSTRACT



Systematic review of outcome measures in trials of pediatric anaphylaxis treatment

Tamar Rubin¹, Jacqueline Clayton¹, Denise Adams^{1,2}, Hsing Jou^{1,2}, Sunita Vohra^{1,2,3*}

From Canadian Society of Allergy and Clinical Immunology Annual Scientific Meeting 2013 Toronto, Canada. 3-6 October 2013

Background

Considerable heterogeneity has been observed in the selection and reporting of disease-specific pediatric outcome measures in randomized controlled trials (RCTs) [1]. This makes interpretation of results and comparison across trials challenging [2]. Outcome measures in pediatric anaphylaxis trials have never previously been systematically assessed [3]. This systematic review (SR) will identify and assess outcome measures used in RCTs of anaphylaxis treatment in children. As a secondary objective, this SR will assess the evidence for current treatment modalities for anaphylaxis in the pediatric population.

Methods

We searched MEDLINE, EMBASE, The Cochrane Library, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), and CINAHL from 2001 until December



* Correspondence: svohra@ualberta.ca

¹Department of Pediatrics, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© 2014 Rubin et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated. 2012. We also searched websites listing ongoing trials. We included randomized and controlled trials of anaphylaxis treatment in patients 0-18 years of age. Two authors independently assessed articles for inclusion.

Results

No published studies fulfilled the inclusion criteria (Fig 1).

Conclusions

There is an alarming absence of RCTs evaluating the treatments for anaphylaxis in children. High quality studies are needed and are possible to design, despite the severe and acute nature of this condition. Consensus about the selection and validation of appropriate outcome measures will enhance the quality of research and improve the care of children with anaphylaxis.

Systematic review registration

CRD42012002685

Authors' details

¹Department of Pediatrics, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada. ²CARE Program, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada. ³Department of Public Health Sciences, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada.

Published: 3 March 2014

References

- Clarke M: Standardising outcomes for clinical trials and systematic reviews. *Trials* 2007, 8:39.
- 2. Williamson PR, Gamble C, Altman DG, Hutton JL: Outcome selection bias in meta-analysis. *Stat Methods Med Res* 2005, 14:515-524.
- 3. Sinha I, Jones L, Smith RL, Williamson PR: A systematic review of studies that aim to determine which outcomes to measure in clinical trials in children. *PLoS Med* 2008, **5(4)**:e96.

doi:10.1186/1710-1492-10-S1-A36

Cite this article as: Rubin *et al.*: **Systematic review of outcome measures** in trials of pediatric anaphylaxis treatment. *Allergy, Asthma & Clinical Immunology* 2014 **10**(Suppl 1):A36.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

BioMed Central